

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed July 29, 2003, has been received and reviewed. Claims 18 and 20-27 stand rejected under the doctrine of obviousness type double patenting over copending application 09/716,777. Claim 21 stands rejected as anticipated by Anagnostopulos *et al.*

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER:

The applicants submit herewith a terminal disclaimer for US Patent Application Number 09/716,777. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

CLAIM AMENDMENT:

The applicants have amended claim 21 to clarify the claim. Support for the amendment can be found throughout the specification, for example, in paragraphs 49 to 53. Entry of the amendment is respectfully requested, as the amendment clarifies the claim and removes issues for appeal.

CONCLUSION

If questions remain after consideration of the foregoing, the Office is kindly requested to contact the applicant's attorney at the address or telephone number given herein.

Appl. No. 09/821,380  
Amdt. dated September 29, 2003  
Reply to Office Action of July 29, 2003

Respectfully submitted,



G. Scott Dorland, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 51,622  
Attorney for Applicants  
TRASKBRITT, P.C.  
P.O. Box 2550  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550  
Telephone: 801-532-1922

Date: September 29, 2003

GSD/gsd

Document in ProLaw

Enclosed:

Terminal Disclaimer